IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 23243 of 2000

Between:

1 Hansa Pictures, a registered Partnership firm,

having its Office at 143, R.P.Road, Secunderabad,

Rep. by its Partner, Fatechand H.Shah,

per his authorised Agent, Mr.Chetan D.Shah,

S/o. Late D.H.Shah, Hansa Pictures,

a registered Partnership, Secunderabad.
2 Jyothi Enterprises, a Proprietory concern,

having its office at 3 & 5, Diamond Complex,

Beside Ramakrishna Theatre, Abids, Hyderabad,

Rep. by its Proprietor, G.Bala Krishna,

S/o. G.Gangadhar, per his authorised Agent, G.Surya Prakash

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Andhra Pradesh Technology Services Limited,

Rep. by its Managing Director,
B-Block, 4th Floor, B.R.R.Bhavan,
Tankbund Road, Hyderabad.
2 Andhra Pradesh Technology Services Limited,

Rep. by its Manager (Administration).
B-Block, 4th Floor, B.R.R.Bhavan,

Tankbund Road, Hyderabad.
3 Micro Touch Office System,
103, Panchasheela Towers,

Beside My Choice Showroom,
1-7-323, Parklane, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue any appropriate Writ, order or direction preferably a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in finalising the contract for procurement of LCD Projectors for Dr.MCR HRD Institute of AP in terms of the Tender Notification dated 19/10/2000 in favour of the 3rd respondent as illegal arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 not to finalise the Contract in favour of the 3rd respondent as per Tender Notification dated 19/10/2000 with regard to procurement of LCD Projectors for Dr.MCR HRD Institute of AP.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.VENUGOPAL

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.K.VIJAYAKUMAR REDDY

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____ R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

30.06.2005

DR

To

1 The Managing Director,

Andhra Pradesh Technology Services Limited,

B-Block, 4th Floor, B.R.R.Bhavan,
Tankbund Road, Hyderabad.

2 The Manager, (Administration).
Andhra Pradesh Technology Services Limited,

B-Block, 4th Floor, B.R.R.Bhavan,

Tankbund Road, Hyderabad.

3 Micro Touch Office System,
103, Panchasheela Towers,

Beside My Choice Showroom,
1-7-323, Parklane, Secunderabad.

4 2CD copies